

*Amount spent on Khadi Industry.*

Q.—500. Srimathi BELLARY SIDDAMMA (Davangere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the amount lapsed out of the grant sanctioned by them for Khadi Industry last year ;

(b) the amount spent so far during the current year (out of the amount voted in the budget) ?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(a) Rs. 70,000 out of Rs. 1,00,000.

(b) Rs. 9,000. In addition, the Khadi Committee have already recommended grants up to Rs. 45,410. Some of these recommendations have already been sanctioned and the others are under consideration of Government.

*Suicides.*

Q.—457. Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA (Turuvekere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of persons who committed suicide during the last three years in the State ;

(b) the reasons for the same ?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(a) 1950-51 1951-52 1952  
(Official year) (Calendar year)

458 509 498

(b) Not possible to say definitely.

**MOTION FOR ADJOURNMENT****GRANT OF MINING LEASE TO EX-RULER OF SANDUR STATE.**

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy has given notice of an adjournment motion in the following terms :

“That this Assembly do stand adjourned to discuss a definite and urgent matter of public importance and of recent occurrence, namely, the grant of mining lease in Sandur Taluk in favour of ex-Ruler of the State.”

L.A.

If he has anything to say, he can do so.

\*Sri MULKA GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—Sir, this mining industry is a very important industry and the public as well as Government are very much interested in this industry. Almost monopoly rights have been given in favour of the ex-Ruler of Sandur regarding some mines in Sandur Taluk in Bellary District. Therefore, it is a matter of definiteness and of public importance and I understand that these rights have been given to the ex-Ruler very recently. Hence I seek the permission of the Chair to allow this matter to be discussed as a motion for adjournment.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—Sir, I agree with my Hon'ble friend that this is an important subject. But whether it is urgent or not, is the question. So far as Mysore Government is concerned, it has not given any fresh lease to the Ruler of Sandur. Probably, at the time of integration of that State in the then Madras State he had obtained a lease and the Madras Government was committed to that position. He had also obtained the necessary permission from the Government of India. What we have done is merely to accept the lease that had already been arrived at between the Ruler of Sandur and the Madras Government. Therefore, Sir, there is no urgency and it is not of such great importance as to require discussion on the floor of the House today. My Hon'ble friend can avail an opportunity in the Budget Session and ventilate his views on the matter and I would very gladly place not only every fact at my disposal, but also the views of the Government.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Hon'ble Members are doubtless aware that the admission of an adjournment motion so as to interrupt the appointed order of business is subject to certain well-understood rules and conventions. On many occasions in the past the Chair has discussed this question at some length. So far as the present motion is concerned, it is difficult to hold that the subject is of such public importance as to